arXiv:1608.05943v2 [math.DG] 29 Sep 2016

CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELDS ON LIE GROUPS

ADRIANA ARAUJO CINTRA, ZHIQI CHEN AND BENEDITO LEANDRO NETO

Abstract. In this paper, we investigated the behavior of left-invariant conformal vector fields on Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics. First of all, we prove that conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian unimodular Lie groups are Killing. Then we obtain a necessary condition for a pseudo-Rimennian non-unimodular Lie group admitting a non-Killing conformal vector field. Finally, we give examples of non-Killing conformal vector fields and Yamabe solitons on non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups based on the above study.

1. Introduction

A transformation of a Riemannian manifold is say to be conformal if it preserves the angle defined by the Riemannian metric. Furthermore, we said that a Riemannian manifold admits a conformal vector field X if

$$\mathfrak{L}_X g = 2\rho g,$$

where g is the Riemannian metric, $\mathfrak{L}_X g$ is the Lie derivative and ρ is a smooth function. The existence of such a function might give some information about the topological structure of the Riemannian manifold [3, 15]. An important class of conformal vector fields are *Killing vector fields*, i.e., vector fields such that $\mathfrak{L}_X g = 0$. Killing vector fields are generators of isometries, which provides a close link between the geometry of a manifold M and the algebra of $I(M)$, the set of all isometries in M (see [16]). Yamabe soliton vector fields are another important class of conformal vector fields.

A *Yamabe soliton* is a complete manifold M^n with a metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and a constant λ that satisfies the equation:

$$(R-\lambda)\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{L}_X\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{L}_X g)(Y,Z) = X\langle Y,Z\rangle - \langle[X,Y],Z\rangle - \langle Y,[X,Z]\rangle, \tag{1.2}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and R is the scalar curvature of the metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. If $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda < 0$, then we have, respectively, a Yamabe soliton *shrinking*, *steady* and *expanding*. A Yamabe soliton is called *non-trivial* if it admits a soliton vector field which is not Killing. In particular, the scalar curvature is constant if the Yamabe soliton is trivial. If $X = \nabla f$

Date: September 30, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 22E60.

Key words and phrases. Conformal vector Fields, Yamabe soliton, Lie groups.

for some smooth potential function f on M, we call it a *gradient Yamabe soliton* (see [13] for instance).

The Yamabe solitons are a special type of solution for the Yamabe flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -Rg(t).$$

The Yamabe flow is introduced as an attempt to solve the Yamabe problem [11]. The solitons have become an important tool to explore the geometric flows. For these reasons, Ricci solitons and Yamabe solitons have been extensively explored in the past years [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 17]. It is worth to point out that 2-dimensional Yamabe soliton and Ricci soliton are the same. The soliton solutions do not necessarily exist in the Lorentzian case (see [2]). In addition, generalizations of solitons were considered and investigated [7, 13]. Chen, Liang and Yi [7] considered a generalization of Ricci solitons on Lie groups. In fact, they proved that exist many non-trivial m-quasi Einstein metrics in a solvable quadratic Lie group. In [13], Leandro Neto and Pina de Oliveira considered a generalization of gradient Yamabe solitons. They proved that connected generalized quasi Yamabe gradient solitons are, in fact, m-quasi Yamabe gradient solitons.

A Lie group is a set which has a structure of manifold and group at the same time, where the group operations are smooth. Of central importance is the relationship between the Lie group and its Lie algebra (see [10, 14, 16, 18]). Lie groups are very useful to give examples. As Milnor [14] said, "when studying relationships between curvature of a complete Riemannian manifold and other topological or geometric properties, it is useful to have many examples". On the other hand, any left-invariant conformal vector field on a Lie group with a left-invariant Riemannian metric is Killing.

This paper is to study left-invariant non-Killing conformal vector fields on Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics. It is point out in [2] that there are 3-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant Lorentzian metrics admitting conformal vector fields which are not left-invariant. Without special notes, a vector field in this paper means a left-invariant vector field. Firstly, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be an n-dimensional unimodular Lie group with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the unimodular Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a conformal vector field, then X is a Killing vector field.*

Since every nilpotent and compact groups are unimodular, by Theorem 1.1, every left-invariant conformal vector field on such Lie groups is Killing. Moreover, by Theorem 1.1,

Corollary 1. *Let G be a unimodular Lie group with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the unimodular Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a vector field satisfying (1.1), then X is a Killing vector field. That is, left-invariant Yamabe solitons on unimodular Lie groups are trivial.*

From [2], we know that there are non-Killing conformal vector fields on 3-dimensional non-unimodular Lie groups with lorentzian metrics. Here we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be an n-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ of signature (p,q) and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the non-unimodular Lie*

algebra of left-invariant vector fields. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a non-Killing conformal vector field, then $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \min(p,q)$ *and* $\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \geq \dim \mathfrak{g} - \min(p,q)$.

Finally, we classify non-unimodular Lie groups with Lorentzian metrics admitting non-Killing conformal vector fields in dimensions 2 and 3, and then give examples in higher dimensions.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. *A Lie group G is a differentiable manifold which is also endowed with a group structure such that the map $G \times G \to G$ defined by $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \sigma\tau^{-1}$ is smooth.*

Definition 2. *A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a vector space L together with a bilinear operator $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ (called the bracket) such that for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$,*

1. *[X,Y]=-[Y,X] (skew-symmetric),*
2. *[[X,Y],Z]+[[Y,Z],X]+[[Z,X],Y]=0 (Jacobi identity).*

Definition 3. *For any $\sigma \in G$, the left translation l_σ is the diffeomorphism of G defined by*

$$l_\sigma(\tau) = \sigma\tau,$$

for any $\tau \in G$. A vector field X on G is called left-invariant if X is l_σ-related to itself for each $\sigma \in G$; that is,

$$dl_\sigma \circ X = X \circ l_\sigma.$$

Definition 4. *A metric $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$ on a Lie group G is called left-invariant if*

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_\tau = \langle (dl_\sigma)_\tau(X), (dl_\sigma)_\tau(Y) \rangle_{\sigma\tau},$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let G be a Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of left-invariant vectors fields on G. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, *the adjoint map* $ad_X : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ sends $Y \longmapsto [X,Y]$, i.e., $ad_X\{Y\} = [X,Y]$.

Definition 5. *The center $C(\mathfrak{g})$ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $ad_X = 0$.*

Definition 6. *A Lie group G is unimodular if $tr\{ad_X\} = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Definition 7. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a (linear) subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $[u,v] \in \mathfrak{h}$, for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is a (linear) subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $[u,v] \in \mathfrak{h}$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{h}$ and all $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, we say that $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Definition 8. *Given two subsets $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, denote by $[\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}]$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of all linear combinations $[a,b]$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. In particular, $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$ called the commutator ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Let G be a Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$ be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on G. Assume that ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$. Then,

$$[X,Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover if $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is left-invariant on G (see [10, 16]), then for left-invariant vector fields X, Y, we have

$$\langle X, Y\rangle_\sigma = \langle X(\sigma), Y(\sigma)\rangle_\sigma = \langle (dl_\sigma)_e X(e), (dl_\sigma)_e Y(e)\rangle_\sigma = \langle X(e), Y(e)\rangle_e = \langle X, Y\rangle_e,$$

where e is the identity element of G. It shows that the map $\sigma \mapsto \langle X, Y\rangle_\sigma$ is constant. Thus, for any vector field Z on $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$Z\langle X, Y\rangle = 0.$$

This means that, for a left-invariant metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on G, we have

$$\langle \nabla_Z X, Y\rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Z Y\rangle = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. By (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, Z\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\langle [X, Y], Z\rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X\rangle + \langle [Z, X], Y\rangle),$$

where X, Y, Z are all left-invariant vector fields. Assume that $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a conformal vector field, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{L}_X\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = 2\rho\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

It follows that,

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X\langle X, X\rangle = 2\rho|X|^2.$$

If $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a left-invariant Riemannian metric, then $\rho = 0$ or $X \equiv 0$. That is, X is Killing or X is trivial. For this reason, we focus on a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

Lemma 1. *Let notations be as above. If X is a non-Killing conformal vector field, then X is a lightlike vector field, i.e., $\langle X, X\rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Otherwise, assume that X is spacelike $\langle X, X\rangle > 0$ or timelike $\langle X, X\rangle < 0$. By (2.3)

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X\langle X, X\rangle = 2\rho\langle X, X\rangle,$$

which implies that $\rho = 0$. That is, X is trivial, i.e., a Killing vector field. Hence, we have that X is a lightlike vector field. □

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Let G be a Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature (p, q) on G. First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\{ad_X + ad_X^*\}\{Y\}, Z\rangle \\ = \ & \langle ad_X\{Y\}, Z\rangle + \langle ad_X^*\{Y\}, Z\rangle = \langle ad_X\{Y\}, Z\rangle + \langle Y, ad_X\{Z\}\rangle \\ = \ & \langle [X, Y], Z\rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\langle ad_X\{Y\}, Z\rangle = \langle Y, ad_X^*\{Z\}\rangle$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, from (1.2) and (3.1) we have

$$ad_X + ad_X^* = -\mathfrak{L}_X\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle.$$

If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a conformal vector field, by (2.3), then

$$ad_X + ad_X^* = -2\rho\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_s, e_{s+1}, \cdots, e_n\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of signature (p, q). That is,

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p, \\ -1, & \text{if} \quad p+1 \leq i, j \leq n = p+q. \end{cases}$$

From (3.2) we have that

$$-2\rho\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = \langle \{ad_X + ad_X^*\}\{e_i\}, e_i \rangle = 2\langle ad_X\{e_i\}, e_i \rangle$$

and

$$-2\rho\langle e_i, -e_i \rangle = \langle \{ad_X + ad_X^*\}\{e_i\}, -e_i \rangle = 2\langle ad_X\{e_i\}, -e_i \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -n\rho &= -\rho \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \langle e_i, e_i \rangle + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} \langle e_i, -e_i \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \langle ad_X\{e_i\}, e_i \rangle + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} \langle ad_X\{e_i\}, -e_i \rangle \\ &= tr\{ad_X\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho = 0$. That is, X is a Killing vector field. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For the first part, assume that $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) = s > \min(p, q)$, and assume that $\{e_1, \cdots, e_s\}$ is a basis of $C(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq \min(p, q)$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = -\langle [X, e_i], e_j \rangle - \langle e_i, [X, e_j] \rangle = 0 = 2\rho\langle e_i, e_j \rangle.$$

Since $\rho \neq 0$, we have

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq s.$$

That is, we have an s-dimensional isotropy subspace of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. But for a pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature (p, q), we only can have a $\min(p, q)$-dimensional isotropy subspace. So we must have $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \min(p, q)$.

For the second part, assume that $\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] < \dim \mathfrak{g} - \min(p, q)$. It follows that $\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp = t > \min(p, q)$, where $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ corresponding to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Assume that $\{e_1, \cdots, e_t\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq t$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = -\langle [X, e_i], e_j \rangle - \langle e_i, [X, e_j] \rangle = 0 = 2\rho\langle e_i, e_j \rangle.$$

Since $\rho \neq 0$, we have

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq t.$$

That is, we have a t-dimensional isotropy subspace of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Similar to the first part, we have $\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \geq \dim \mathfrak{g} - \min(p, q)$. □

4. Non-Killing conformal vector fields on non-unimodular Lie groups with left-invariant Lorentzian metrics

Let G be a non-unimodular Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be a Lorentzian metric on G. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-Killing conformal vector field X. By Theorem 1.2,

$$\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \geq \dim\mathfrak{g} - 1.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, we know that $\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] < \dim\mathfrak{g}$. It follows that

$$\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] = \dim\mathfrak{g} - 1.$$

Lemma 2. *Let notations be as above. Then the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ is degenerate.*

Proof. Assume that the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ is non-degenerate. Then $\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]^\perp = 1$ and the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ is non-degenerate. Let $\{e\}$ be a basis of $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. Thus $\langle e,e\rangle \neq 0$. By

$$\mathfrak{L}_X\langle e,e\rangle = -\langle[X,e],e\rangle - \langle e,[X,e]\rangle = 0 = 2\rho\langle e,e\rangle$$

and $\rho \neq 0$, we have $\langle e,e\rangle = 0$. It is a contradiction. Thus the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ is degenerate. □

By Lemma 2, we will classify solvable Lie groups with Lorentzian metrics admitting non-Killing conformal vector fields in dimensions 2 and 3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a 2-dimensional Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be a Lorentzian metric on G. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-Killing conformal vector field X. Then there is a basis $\{e_1,e_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the non-zero bracket is given by*

$$[e_1,e_2] = e_2$$

and the Lorentzian metric associated with the basis is defined by

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $X = x_1e_1$.

Proof. First of all, $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable if $\dim\mathfrak{g} = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-Killing conformal vector field X, we have $\dim[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] = 1$. Thus there is a basis $\{e_1,e_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$[e_1,e_2] = e_2,$$

where $\{e_2\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$. By Lemma 2, we can assume that the Lorentzian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the basis is defined by

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing e_1 by $e_1 - \frac{a}{2}e_2$ if necessary, we can assume that $a = 0$. Assume that $X = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2$ is a left-invariant conformal vector field on $\mathfrak{g}$. By

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle - 2\rho\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_2 & -x_1 - 2\rho \\ -x_1 - 2\rho & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

we have $x_2 = 0$. Therefore, $X = x_1 e_1$ is a non-Killing left-invariant lightlike conformal vector field with the conformal function given by $\rho = \frac{-x_1}{2}$. □

Remark 1. *We know that 2-dimensional Yamabe solitons and Ricci solitons are the same. A straightforward computation shows that the curvature $R = 0$. Then, from (1.1) the soliton will be non-trivial if and only if it is a shrinking or expanding soliton.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a 3-dimensional Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a Lorentzian metric on G. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-Killing conformal vector field X. Then there is a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the non-zero brackets are given by*

$$[e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2; \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2\alpha e_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$, and the Lorentzian metric associated with the basis is defined by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$X = \begin{cases} x_3 e_3 \quad (\text{with} \quad \rho = x_3\alpha), \quad \text{for} \quad \beta = 0; \\ x_1(e_1 - \frac{\beta}{\delta} e_2 - \frac{\delta}{2\beta} e_3) \quad (\text{with} \quad \rho = -x_1 \frac{\delta^2}{2\beta}), \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. First we know that $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-unimodular since $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-Killing conformal vector field X. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable by $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 3$. Thus we know $\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, we know $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent. Thus $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian. By Lemma 2, we know that there exist a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that the Lorentzian metric associated with the basis is given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the non-zero brackets must be

$$[e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2, \quad [e_2, e_3] = \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2, \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-unimodular, we have $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ (see [2, 6, 14]). Assume that $X = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i e_i$ is a left-invariant conformal vector field. Therefore,

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - 2\rho \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x_3\alpha - \rho) & x_3\gamma & -x_1\alpha - x_3\beta \\ x_3\gamma & 0 & -x_3\delta + 2\rho \\ -x_1\alpha - x_3\beta & -x_3\delta + 2\rho & 2(x_1\beta + x_2\delta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $x_3 = 0$ or $\delta = 0$, then $\rho = 0$, i.e., X is Killing. Since X is non-Killing, we have $x_3\delta \neq 0$. It implies that $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha = \frac{\delta}{2} \neq 0$. Furthermore,

$$X = \begin{cases} x_3 e_3 \quad (\text{with} \quad \rho = x_3\alpha), \quad \text{for} \quad \beta = 0; \\ x_1(e_1 - \frac{\beta}{\delta} e_2 - \frac{\delta}{2\beta} e_3) \quad (\text{with} \quad \rho = -x_1 \frac{\delta^2}{2\beta}), \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It ends the theorem. □

Remark 2. *Clearly X defined by (4.3) is lightlike. By a straightforward computation we have that the scalar curvature $R = 0$. This implies that the left-invariant Lorentzian Yamabe soliton with X given by (4.3) is non-trivial if and only if it is shrinking or expanding. In fact, the classification of 3-dimensional Lorentzian Lie groups admitting non-Killing conformal vector fields is given in Theorem 7 of* [2]*. Here we give a simpler proof by our method.*

The following is to give some examples of non-Killing conformal vector fields and Yamabe solitons on G of higher dimensions.

Example 1. *Let G be a connected 4-dimensional non-unimodular with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the brackets are defined by*

$$[e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_3; \quad [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0; \quad [e_1, e_4] = -\frac{1}{2}e_1;$$
$$[e_2, e_4] = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 \quad \textit{and} \quad [e_3, e_4] = -e_3,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Lorentzian metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the basis given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that $X = \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i e_i$ is a left-invariant conformal vector field. Then,

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - 2\rho \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -x_4 - 2\rho & 0 & -2x_3 & -\alpha x_2 + \frac{x_1}{2} \\ 0 & -x_4 - 2\rho & 0 & \alpha x_1 + \frac{x_2}{2} \\ -2x_3 & 0 & 0 & x_4 + 2\rho \\ -\alpha x_2 + \frac{x_1}{2} & \alpha x_1 + \frac{x_2}{2} & x_4 + 2\rho & -2x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $x_4 = 0$ if, and only if, $\rho = 0$, i.e., X is a Killing vector field. In the following, assume that $x_4 \neq 0$. By $-\alpha x_2 + \frac{x_1}{2} = 0$ and $\alpha x_1 + \frac{x_2}{2} = 0$, we have $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Then,

$$X = x_4 e_4, \quad \textit{with} \quad \rho = \frac{-x_4}{2}.$$

Clearly, the scalar curvature is given by

$$R = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{2}.$$

Then the Damek-Ricci Lorentzian Yamabe soliton is trivial if, and only if, $\lambda = R = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{2}$. For this case, if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$, the Damek-Ricci Lorentzian Yamabe soliton can be shrinking, steady or expanding.

Example 2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a solvable Lie algebra of dimension n such that $\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = n - 1$ and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian. Assume that $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. The non-zero brackets are defined by*

$$[e_n, e_i] = \lambda_i e_i, \quad \textit{for any } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-unimodular if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \neq 0$. Consider the following Lorentzian metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the basis given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$ is a left-invariant conformal vector field. For any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$,

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_i, e_n \rangle - 2\rho \langle e_i, e_n \rangle = \lambda_i x_i.$$

That is, $x_i = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. By

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_n, e_n \rangle - 2\rho \langle e_n, e_n \rangle = \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1},$$

we have $x_{n-1} = 0$. Thus $X = x_n e_n$. By

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_{n-1}, e_n \rangle - 2\rho \langle e_{n-1}, e_n \rangle = -\lambda_{n-1} x_n - 2\rho,$$

we have $\rho = -\frac{\lambda_{n-1} x_n}{2}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, by

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_X \langle e_i, e_i \rangle - 2\rho \langle e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

we know $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}$ if $x_n \neq 0$. Moreover, it is easy to check $X = x_n e_n$ is a non-Killing conformal vector field if $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Example 3. *In fact, in Example 2, we can delete that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian. That is,*

1. *$\mathfrak{g}$ is a solvable Lie algebra of dimension n.*
2. *$\dim[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = n-1$.*
3. *Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. The non-zero brackets are defined by $[e_n, e_i] = \lambda_i e_i$ where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \neq 0$.*

Consider the following Lorentzian metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the basis given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similar to the discussion in Example 2, if X is a left-invariant non-Killing conformal vector field, then $X = ae_n$ for some $a \neq 0$. Moreover, $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. It is easy to check that $X = ae_n$ is a non-Killing conformal vector field if $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{n-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. For this case, we have

$$[e_n, [e_i, e_j]] = [[e_n, e_i], e_j] + [e_i, [e_n, e_j]] = \lambda_i [e_i, e_j] + \lambda_j [e_i, e_j] = (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)[e_i, e_j]$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. *That is,*

$$[e_i, e_j] = \beta_{ij} e_{n-1}, \text{ if } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-2; \quad [e_i, e_{n-1}] = 0, \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

This example can be considered as the higher dimensional case of the example 1.

Acknowledgement. *The third author is grateful to José Nazareno Gomes for bringing several references to his attention.*

References

[1] Barbosa, E., Ribeiro Jr, E.: *On conformal solutions of the Yamabe flow.* Arch. Math. 101, 79-89, (2013).

[2] Calviño-Louzao, E., Seoane-Bascoy, J., Vázquez-Abal, M.E., Vázquez-Lorenzo, R.: *Three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian Yamabe solitons.* In: Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 82, 193-203, (2012).

[3] Caminha, A.: *The geometry of closed conformal vector fields on Riemannian spaces.* Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series 42(2), 277-300, (2011).

[4] Cao, H.-D., Chen, Q.: *On locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 23772391, (2012).

[5] Cao, H.-D., Sun, X., Zhang, Y.: *On the structure of gradient Yamabe solitons.* Math. Res. Lett. 19, 767-774, (2012).

[6] Cordero, L.A., Parker, Ph.: *Left-invariant Lorentzian metrics on 3-dimensional Lie groups.* Rend. Mat. VII 17, 129-155, (1997).

[7] Chen, Z., Liang, K., Yi, F: *Non-trivial m-quasi-Einstein metrics on quadratic Lie groups.* Arch. Math. 106, 391-399, (2016).

[8] Chow, B., Knopf, D: *The Ricci flow: An introduction.* Mathematical surveys and monographs, ISSN 0076-5376; v.110, (2004).

[9] Gomes, J.N., Wang, Q., and Xia, C.: *On the h-almost Ricci soliton.* arXiv:1411.6416v2 [math.DG] 3 May (2015).

[10] Gallier, J., Quaintance, J.: *Notes on Differential Geometry and Lie Groups.* Department of Computer and Information Science University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

[11] Hamilton, R.S: *The Ricci flow on surfaces. Mathematics and general relativity.* Contemp. Math., 71, (1988), 237-262.

[12] Jablonski, M.: *Homogeneous Ricci solitons.* J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelles Journal), v. 2015, n. 699, p. 159-182, (2015).

[13] Leandro Neto, B., Pina Oliveira, H.: *Generalized quasi Yamabe gradient solitons.* Differ. Geom. Appl. 49, 167-175, (2016).

[14] Milnor, J.: *Curvatures of Left Invariant Metrics on Lie Groups.* Adv. Math. 21, 293-329, (1976).

[15] Obata, M.: *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere* . J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 14, No. 3, (1962).

[16] O'Neill, B.: *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity.* Academic Press (1983).

[17] Shu, S.-Y.: *A note on compact gradient Yamabe solitons.* J. Math. Anal. Appl., Volume 388, Issue 2, Pages 725-726 (2012).

[18] Warner, F. W.: *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups.* Springer-Verlag (1971).

Universidade de Federal de Goiás, Regional Jataí, Endereço, BR 364, km 195, 3800, CEP 75801-615, Jataí, Goiás, Brazil.

E-mail address: adriana.cintra@ufg.br

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, 300071 Tianjin, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn

Universidade de Federal de Goiás, Regional Jataí, Endereço, BR 364, km 195, 3800, CEP 75801-615, Jataí, Goiás, Brazil.

E-mail address: bleandroneto@gmail.com